



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/666,998	09/19/2003	Andrei Laikhter	013670-9004 US00	1003
53229 7590 01/11/2010 MICHAEL BEST & FRIEDRICH LLP 100 East Wisconsin Avenue Suite 3300 Milwaukee, WI 53202				
EXAMINER				
STAPLES, MARK				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1637				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
01/11/2010		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<p style="text-align: center; font-weight: bold; font-size: 1.2em;">Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</p>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;">Application No. 10/666,998</td> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;">Applicant(s) LAIKHTER ET AL.</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 2px;">Examiner MARK STAPLES</td> <td style="padding: 2px;">Art Unit 1637</td> </tr> </table>	Application No. 10/666,998	Applicant(s) LAIKHTER ET AL.	Examiner MARK STAPLES	Art Unit 1637
Application No. 10/666,998	Applicant(s) LAIKHTER ET AL.				
Examiner MARK STAPLES	Art Unit 1637				

All Participants:

(1) MARK STAPLES.

(2) JILL A. FAHRLANDER.

Date of Interview: 5 January 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: 71

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 12:00 p.m.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
under 35 U.S.C. 102(b)

Claims discussed:
 51

Prior art documents discussed:
Batz et al.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Examiner discussed proposed claim amendments with Attorney Fahrlander to place the application in better condition for possible allowance.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Mark Staples/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1637

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)